

RECEIVED

AUG - 6 2009

Public Disclosure  
Commission

Certification for a  
Complaint to the Washington State Public Disclosure Commission Relating to an  
Elected Official or Candidate for Public Office  
(Notary Not Required)

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the facts set forth in this attached complaint are true and correct.

Your signature: Linda L Jones

Your printed name: Linda L Jones

Street address: 8725 126<sup>th</sup> Ave NE

City, state and zip code: Kirkland WA 98033

Telephone number: 425-466-4999 (cell) 425-822-9880

E-Mail Address: (Optional) lindajones@verizon.net

Date Signed: August 4, 2009

Place Signed (City and County): Kirkland, King  
City County

\*RCW 9A.72.040 provides that: "(1) A person is guilty of false swearing if he makes a false statement, which he knows to be false, under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) False swearing is a misdemeanor."

**COMPLAINT:**

Amy Walen is a year-2009 candidate for Kirkland City Council, Position #5.

Her F-1 disclosure, filed 5/4/09 with the PDC (copy attached), has omitted disclosures of financial transactions and relationships which could constitute a conflict of interest if she is elected to the City Council.

The F-1 indicates that she is the Controller of "Ford of Kirkland" and received compensation for her services. The F-1 supplement indicates that her spouse owns a 25% interest in DOCK Management, Inc. which is operated under the trade name "Ford of Kirkland."

Ms. Walen's responses to the F-1 supplement failed to disclose financial relationships as follows:

1. To the question: "Payments entity received from governmental unit in which you seek/hold office" (i.e. the City of Kirkland), Ms. Walen's response is "N/A." In addition, this particular question also asks for an amount in "actual dollars", and that is left blank.

However, Ford of Kirkland did receive payments from the City of Kirkland for vehicle service and parts. This relationship and the dollar amount should have been disclosed. A copy of a City of Kirkland transaction report is attached.

2. To the question: "Payments entity received from business customers of \$10,000 or more," Ms. Walen's response is "N/A." In addition, this particular question asks for the purpose of payments, and that is left blank.

However, it is inconceivable that an automobile dealership would not have any business customers that purchased goods and services in excess of \$10,000 or more over the one-year reporting period. A complete list of the applicable business customers and the nature of the payments should have been disclosed.

Ms Walen did not apply to the PDC for an exemption from this reporting requirement, and the time has passed for her to do so. As Controller of the company, Ms. Walen would have complete access to all of this information. As a candidate, and as a former attorney, Ms. Walen should have been forthright and made full public disclosures of all financial relationships to the extent required by the PDC.